2024/25 Headline Savings options - Round 1 Appendix 2

Cabinet /	Service	Budget	Cost	BRIEF Saving Description (including risks of delivery)	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk
PDG		Holder	Centre		(£k)	(£k)	(£k)
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH600	Remove Pest Control contract – The use of the service has been declining year on year, and budget had been reduced to £1000. This only covers those on qualifying benefits in the private sector for the treatment of rats and mice. All other forms of pest are not covered and signposted to the preferred contractor. The current contract with Pestokill has been extended to June 2024 but it is proposed that we do not renew this contract going forward. Implications: Low-income households have to pay for rats and mice infestations previously provided FOC Increase in more complex pest control cases requiring EH regulatory intervention due to failure of householders to take early treatments at cost (low risk) Mitgation: availability of healthy homes grants and regulatory tools to deal with major infestations means that the risk of not providing the service is lower. Risks: Equality Impact Assessment would show disproportionate impact on vulnerable, low-income residents although take up of the service has reduced significantly over the years / Will require a policy decision via CPDG and Cabinet Increased public health impacts but potentially mitigated by the introduction of the Healthy Homes Grant Reputational damage / less community resilience	£ 1,000		
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH250	No longer provide GF budget for community safety partnership activity in Mid Devon but maintain contribution to DHR (domestic homicide reviews) as this is mandatory. Changes in funding for CSP work have already been introduced via adoption of a direct commissioning approach by PCC. Limited separate CSP new burdens funding is currently in place for new Serious Violence Duty responsibilities therefore the remaining residual budget is too limited to be utilised effectively. Implications: With reduced external funding there will be less funding available for specific projects locally, but there continues to be specific funding from the OPPC for targeted action on Devon wide priorities. Use of this funding has been adhoc over the years resulting in an EMR for underspend which would indicate that this funding is no longer required. There is a duty to undertake DHRs so part of the budget is being withheld to cover the contribution to DCC to coordinate these reviews (accounted for in projected). Risks: Unable to contribute to any specific projects on community safety locally but these have been reduced over the years due to local capacity and changing need, PCC commissioning approach where the CSP support direct Community Grant bids by VCSE providers and more strategic working across partners	£ 3,870		
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH660	Remove continuous air quality monitors (AQ Mesh). The current AQ mesh pods (x4) in place under statutory LAQM requirements but have reached the end of their life and need to be replaced. The cost per new pod is approx. £7280 as detailed below, with an annual cost of £2680 (not including any repairs or officer time to check the install). To replace all 4 would be £29,120 to come out of 23/24. The ongoing cost for 4 would be £10720. £10,000 could be a saving if we did not replace the pods. alternatively there would be a smaller saving if some pods remained. Spec from Ricardo • SCS Cube spec to measure PM10, PM2.5 and NO2 is £3,000/unit (with 2-year manufacturer warranty) • Extended warranty to cover years 3 and 4 and maintenance service during the start of year 3 is £850/unit • Data sim is £180/unit/year, if paid for in advance • QA/QC and data correction to near reference, monthly update reports, and website £2,500/year • Installation £750. Assuming done in a day (2-sensors) • Note the Cube units are mains powered and so need commando sockets for lamp column installation 13 amp rated feed via a standard commando socket rated at IP68 (waterprooff) Implications: The pods monitor PM10 and PM2.5 therefore we would no longer be able to measure these pollutants. These would continue to be desk-assessed under LAQM.TG22 guidance but district would not have capability to directly monitor including investigating any new potential exceedance areas, however previous x5 annual assessment reports have identified no new hotspots/risks. Reliance on reduced NOx tube monitoring only and minimum baseline compliance with statutory guidance under LAQM regime and AQ duties on Council Low - Medium Risk due to minimum statutory baseline compliance. This would generate the full saving of £11,500 via reduction in budget. Sufficient budget would be retained within relevant cost-centre for reduced NOx monitoring (see below) and calibration of noise monitors	£ 11,500		

2024/25 Headline Savings options - Round 1 Appendix 2

Cabinet /	Service	Budget	Cost	BRIEF Saving Description (including risks of delivery)	Low Risk		High Risk
PDG		Holder	Centre		(£k)	(£k)	(£k)
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH660	Reduce number of NOx tube monitoring sites from 20 to 10. There have been no exceedances and DEFRA are recommending that the AQMAs are no longer needed. This is an opportunity to review the monitoring sites and reduce the number Implications: There would be less coverage of the district for monitoring but it would be more tactically focussed on ongoing at risk, exceedence or adjacent proposed major development areas only Would need to be kept under review should significant changes to traffic flow arise in areas not covered and monitoring sites introduced as necessary - statutory requirement under LAQM (see below) and annual screening assessment reporting into Defra Risks: Less comprehensive set of data for DEFRA return - represents minumum monitoring requirements to satisfy Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) requirements under stat. guidance (LAQM TG22) Changes to air quality slower to be identified but trend position retained at remaining longer-term sites	£ 420		
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH660	Stop provision of planning advice relating to all areas of public health. We have contracted to provide this expertise as we do not have the full specialist expertise or resources in house to provide this service. However this is not a statutory function. Implications This is not a statutory service and is only advisory. There would be no provision of comments on planning applications from Public Health once this contract has ended. Currently planning utilise Janet for advice and support more than Public Health and with the new air quality spd planning may wish to fund Janet directly to advise them on this area. Risks Public health comments are preventative and good practice to avoid future nuisance complaints. There is a risk that nuisance issues will increase. However public health has a duty to investigate regardless		£ 6,000	
				End the following subscriptions:			
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH733	EMAQ plus training - provides 6 detailed training courses per year on environmental protection including an exam for competency Implications: Access to the reduced rate in specialist training would be lost. However the current Team leader for community has undertaken most of the available competencies. This could be re-instated in future should it become necessary but there are other courses available. Risk Low risk but does mean that only one person in Public health has the competencies		£ 1,376	
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH733	Public health RIAMs premium subscription - provides access to templates documents, community chats and forums for advice on all aspects of EH Implications The service would no longer be able to access the various templates and documents, the EH community for support and advice or the reduced rate training Risk A piece of work is needed to fully identify how much the service is used by the team. If it is rarely utilised then the risk is low however if the team, especially less experienced team members, rely on the service for day to day delivery of the statutory service then the risk is higher.		£ 3,850	
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH733	ABC food law - provides bespoke training courses on specialist food safety matters including specific approved premises Implications The team currently rely on this tool for training, templates and guidance. However going forward it may be possible to stop this subscription once internal processes and officers are up to speed. At present as this is not the case it would be high risk to remove this. Risk The team need to be up to speed before this is no longer available. The current risk is that the FSA do not believe we can meet our statutory functions or comply with the FSA plan so it is important that we get on top of this before considering a reduction in our resources			£ 225

Cabinet /	Service	Budget	Cost	BRIEF Saving Description (including risks of delivery)	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk
PDG		Holder	Centre		(£k)	(£k)	(£k)
Community	Public Health	Simon Newcombe	PH354	Empty Homes Network - advice and access to webinars Implications Dealing with empty homes is not a statutory function the network provides advice and guidance on tools for empty homes officers and we do not have this resource at present Risk Risk is low as we do not need the membership and it can be re-instated in the future if required	£ 95		
Community	Community Grants	Adrian Welsh	CD200	There is an opportunity to review the wider Community Grants. These grants currently total £138k with currently seven beneficiaries. These are due for review. This will provide a political challenge as reductions to these organisations will be controversial. This review would need to form part of a corporate wide assessment. The current breakdown is as follows: Churches Housing Action Team (CHAT) £12,500 Citizens Advice £15,500 Involve — Voluntary Action in Mid Devon £12,000 Mid Devon Mobility £22,000 Grand Western Canal £45,000 Tiverton Museum of Mid Devon Life £27,500 Tourist Information Service £4,000 Total Grants £138,500 A saving target of £18,500 is proposed, with Community PDG to decide how to make this saving.		£ 18,500	
All	ALL	ALL	All Services	Potential savings could be made in certain areas linked to de-scoping or downsizing activity, should turnover allow for a natural wastage approach in these identified areas. Organisation-wide turnover should allow for a realisation of some of these savings over the coming months and years. However, they are categorised as amber/red to denote that these are not immediately realisable (amber) or would not be recommended due to the severe negative impact on service delivery (red). This aligns with the early steer from the administration around prioritising and protecting service delivery to customers alongside a desire to ensure no redundancies are required given the opportunities to manage workforce resource effectively through targeted vacancy and turnover management.	£ 40,680	£ 370,840	£ 363,806
All	ALL	ALL	All Services	Reduction in agency spend Implications: Will require services to scrutinise need and develop greater relationships with agency providers, negotiating where required Could result in services offering a lesser service at a lower rate should it be decided agency spend is not favourable			?
All	ALL	ALL	All Services	Reduction in cost of sickness Implications: Would require us to take a less risk adverse attitude to managing sickness which could result in claims Will require consistency across the whole organisation			?
All	ALL	ALL	All Services	Business Rates - possible reduction arising from appeals	?		
All	ALL	ALL	All Services	Energy costs - possible movement depending on new unit price. Need to reduce usage.	?		
All	ALL	ALL	All Services	Fuel Costs - Possible movement depending on how prices move	£ 30,000		

TOTAL £ 269,380 £ 535,566 £ 532,731